

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/778,667 01/03/9/ RUBLEJU QM11/1015 **EXAMINER** WILLIAM H MURRAY SCHAAF,J SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS SUITE 3600 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1600 MARKET STREET 3713 PHILADELPHIA PA 19103 10/15/98 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/778,667 Applicant(s)

Roblejo

Examiner

James Schaaf

Group Art Unit 3713

		Ш

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193!	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve the solve of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of the solve of this communication.	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority 	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	bool
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
 received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: 	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	
Attachment(s) X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
	48
X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	

Application/Control Number: 08/778,667

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 4, line 30, reference numeral 12 is used previously and in the diagrams as the stack holder from which the cards are input. Page 5, lines 24-26 are awkwardly phrased.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/778,667

Art Unit: 3713

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not clear how the apparatus sorts or randomizes a deck. In particular it is unclear if one card is directed to each slot of the wheel and the apparatus needs more slots than there are cards (note that the figure 1 shows considerably less slots than the 52 cards of the blackjack deck used in the example), if the cards are somehow inserted into the proper position of the stack at the stacking means, if multiple cards are directed into each slot and the pusher can somehow distinguish which card is required, or if some other method is used.

Furthermore, no mention is made in the specification that Pan-9 decks, Caribbean stud decks, California blackjack deck or super-pan-9 decks could be used or what such decks are (for claim 10), that physical integrity is checked or how it would be checked (for claim 16), that the sorted order is the same order as found in fresh new decks (for claim 17), that the specific number of decks the apparatus can handle is between 1 and 10 (for claim 18), or that any printer could be used with the system or what sort of verification data would be printed (for claim 19).

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/778,667

Art Unit: 3713

6. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claim 1, line 6, where are the cards directed from?

Claim 1 is further incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: how the control means and identification means interacts with the other means.

Furthermore, claim 1, lines 11-12, the limitation starting "the apparatus adapted" is indefinite as no structure or means plus function language is provided to fulfill the limitation. It is suggested that this phrase be replaced by --wherein the identification means signals to the control means the identities of the cards and wherein the control means verifies that a true set of cards has been received in the input means and directs the ejecting means to deliver a true set of cards at the stacking means in either a random order or a sorted order--.

Claims 2-22 are rejected as incorporating the indefinite language of claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The receipt, verification, and delivery of a true set of cards is not shown in the prior art as claimed.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/778,667

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cuff et al., Penzias, Huen, and Normand et al. are directed to distribution systems for delivering identified cards into specific decks.

Albrecht is directed to identifying cards as they are dealt and maintaining integrity by identifying cards which do not belong to the set.

Soules et al. is directed to a system that directs a dealer to distribute cards dependent on read codes.

Pfeiffer and Pfeiffer et al. are directed to a system which identifies cards, stores the cards in slots in a carousal, keeps the position of the cards in a memory, and distributes the cards on command.

Lorber et al. is directed to a system which identifies multiple decks of cards, stores the cards in slots in a carousal, keeps the position of the cards in a memory, and distributes the cards with a pusher ejector.

Art Unit: 3713

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James Schaaf at telephone number (703) 308-3397.

James Schaaf

10/11/98

Mark S. Graham